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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.007 \AA$
Disorder in solvent or counterion
$R$ factor $=0.073$
$w R$ factor $=0.236$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=11.4$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

## 1-(9-Anthracenylmethyl)-3-butylbenzimidazolium hexafluorophosphate

In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$, the dihedral angle between the anthracene and benzoimidazole ring systems is $93.8(1)^{\circ}$. The anthracenylmethyl and butyl units lie on opposite sides of the benzoimidazole ring system.

## Comment

After the isolation of free stable imidizol-2-ylidene (Imy) (Arduengo et al., 1991), metal carbenes based on the imidazole ring have attracted considerable attention, owing to their inherent stability, their interesting characteristics of structure and bonding, and their potential for synthesis and as catalysts in organic reactions (Fischer et al., 2006). We report here the synthesis and crystal structure of 1-(9-anthracenylmethyl)-3butylbenzimidazolium hexafluorophosphate, (3). 9-Chloromethylanthracene, (1), reacted with 1-butylbenzimidazole to afford a yellow powder, 1-(9-anthracenylmethyl)-3-butylbenzimidazolium chloride, (2). Compound (2) and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ undergo an anionic exchange reaction to give compound (3). Crystals of (3) suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by evaporating slowly a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at room temperature. In (3), the anthracenylmethyl and butyl units lie on opposite sides of the benzimidazole ring system, and the dihedral angle between the anthracene and benzoimidazole ring systems is 93.8 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ (Fig. 1). The N1-C21, N1-C22, N2-C16 and N2C22 bond distances [1.394 (4), 1.335 (4), 1.408 (4) and 1.310 (4) $\AA$, respectively] are similar to those [1.379 (7), $1.335(7), 1.334(8)$ and 1.331 (8) $\AA$ ] observed in 1-(9-anthracenylmethyl)-3-ethylimidazolium iodide (Liu et al., 2003). The N2-C22-N1 bond angle is $111.1(3)^{\circ}$, which is somewhat larger than that $\left[108.8(6)^{\circ}\right]$ found in $1-(9-$ anthracenylmethyl)-3-ethylimidazolium iodide.
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## Experimental

A solution of 1-butylbenzimidazole ( $6.840 \mathrm{~g}, 0.039 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 9-chloromethylanthracene $(8.480 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol})$ in THF ( 150 ml ) was stirred for three days under reflux. The yellow product was filtered and washed with THF. Yellow crystals of (2) were obtained by recrystallization from acetone/diethyl ether (yield $12.80 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ). M.p. $512-514 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(12.773 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0784 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to a methanol solution ( 150 ml ) of (2) $(8.038 \mathrm{~g}, 0.020 \mathrm{~mol})$. A yellow precipitate formed immediately, which was collected by filtration, washed with small portions of methanol and dried in a vacuum to give (3) as a yellow powder (yield $9.820 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ). M.p. 489-491. Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ : C 61.18, H 4.94, N 5.49, P 6.07, F 22.33\%; found: C 60.92 , H 4.57 , N 5.62 , P 6.36, F $22.58 \%$.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$
$M_{r}=510.45$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=9.568$ (5) $\AA$
$b=11.818$ (7) $\AA$
$c=12.523$ (7) $\AA$
$\alpha=112.956$ (8) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\beta=109.260(8)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=91.257(8)^{\circ}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector
$\quad$ diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
$\quad(S A D A B S ;$ Sheldrick, 1996)
$\quad T_{\min }=0.962, T_{\max }=0.972$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.073$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.236$
$S=0.98$
4200 reflections
370 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
$V=1212.3(12) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.398 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.18 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Prism, yellow
$0.22 \times 0.18 \times 0.16 \mathrm{~mm}$

6505 measured reflections 4200 independent reflections 2271 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.043$ $\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.1446 P)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.42 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.32 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \text { Extinction correction: } \text { SHELXL } 97 \\
& \text { Extinction coefficient: } 0.006(4)
\end{aligned}
$$

All H atoms were initially located in a difference Fourier map and were then placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances in the range $0.93-$ $0.97 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})\left[1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})\right.$ for methyl atoms $]$. The hexafluorophosphate ion is disordered over two positions and these were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and with $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}$


Figure 1
A view of (3) along with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level.
distances restrained to $1.58(5) \AA$. The occupancy factors are 0.677 (4) and 0.323 (4), respectively.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997b); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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